



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/684,528		10/06/2000	Andrew K. Percey	X-444-2P-2 US	1500	
24309	7590	08/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
XILINX,			AHN, S	AHN, SAM K		
ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 2100 LOGIC DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE, CA 95124				2637	12	
				DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/)
Advisory Action	09/684,528	PERCEY ET AL.	ff
	Examiner	Art Unit	V
	Sam K. Ahn	2637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SIX MONTHS THE MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. The sension and the corresponding amount of the datatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate express the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s): claims 17 and 21.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLELY	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	l and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:		
Claim(s) allowed: 1-4,6 and 7.			
Claim(s) objected to: 10-17 and 21.			
Claim(s) rejected: 8,9 and 18-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten			
10. Other:		_	

Advisory Action

1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Matsuzaki et al. do teach the limitation claimed. As explained in the previous office action, the predetmined pattern is shown in Fig.18.

YOUNG T. TSE